



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC

11 Laurier/11, rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2
Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise
indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation
remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire,
les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Parliamentary Precinct Division/Acquisitions de la Cité
parlementaire
222 Queen Street / 222, rue Queen
Ottawa
Ontario
K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet RFQ - Block 2 Design Competition Request for Qualification - Block 2 - Architectural Design Competition	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EP771-200660/C	Amendment No. - N° modif. 013
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client EP771-200660	Date 2021-02-22
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$PPS-007-28035	
File No. - N° de dossier 007pps.EP771-200660	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM Eastern Standard Time EST on - le 2021-03-02 Heure Normale de l'Est HNE	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Martin, Cory D.	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 007pps
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (613) 990-3941 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (613) 990-4447
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: Block 2 Ottawa, ON	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

Amendment 013 is raised to publish bidders' questions and answers about the Request for Qualification - Block 2 - Architectural Design Competition and to amend the RFQ.

1. Questions and Answers

Question #115

After the method was changed to epost, Annex A (version 2) became obsolete since it refers to physical copies. Will a revised version be released?

Answer #115

Yes, please see amendment below.

Question #116

If we are pairing with a local architecture firm and it is NOT a Joint Venture, how do we show both firms as the Respondent and include projects from both firms or is the Respondent only 1 firm?

If it is only 1 firm as a Respondent, how do we include the local architect projects into the submission?

Answer #116

Please see response to question 51 and question 58 in amendment 006.

When an architectural firm is carried as a Respondent Team member, it is de facto not considered the Respondent but rather a sub-consultant part of the Respondent Team.

For architectural reference project (ie projects 1 to 4) refer specifically to paragraph 104 a).

When the Respondent is a multi-entity joint venture (formal or informal as per section F.XVII and also clarified in response to question Q#085 in amendment 009) it is left up to the Respondent to determine which reference projects to include from within its constituting entities.

Question #117

Regarding the SRE 3 project requirements:

The brief states that the response should "reference a maximum of two architectural projects undertaken by the Respondent Team."

Our question is simply whether it would be acceptable to only reference one project, as long as we can address all the criteria this way? Or if using two projects is expected and will score higher?

Answer #117

The choice is left to the Respondent. The scoring method will be the same whether one or two projects are submitted, and the score will depend on the strength and relevance of the response.

Question #118

It appears that not all jury members are fluently bilingual in English and French. If a proposal is submitted in French, how will it be translated for non-bilingual Jury members?

Answer #118

Refer to paragraph 82. Refer to paragraph 137. The Jury is not involved in the RFQ evaluation. The evaluation is being undertaken by representatives of Canada, and where language is not an issue.

Question #119

We have a question about SRE3:

Can the project(s) by the Principal Design Architect or Lead Design Architect for SRE3 be a project they led with a previous employer?

Answer #119

No. SRE 3 is assessing the Respondent (and team) not an individual. Projects undertaken by a firm or entity outside of the Respondent or the Respondent Team will not be considered.

Question #120

If one of the Key Individuals (Principal Design Architect, Lead Design Architect, Principal Executive Architect, Lead Executive Architect) was not involved in the participation in the proposed SRE2-SRE3 projects, would be automatically assigned the rating scale of 0 points for the whole response?

Answer #120

As it applies to SRE 2, there is no criteria based on individuals.

As it applies to SRE 3, refer to paragraph 119 as clarified and revised by response to Q#027, issued under Amendment 005

AT: ANNEX A – Submission Checklist, 216

DELETE: In its entirety

INSERT:

The Respondent confirms (by ticking boxes) that the following is provided as part of their Response:

- a) Submission Checklist Form (Annex A1) - Optional
- b) Prequalification Questionnaire (Annex B), sections 1 through 10 duly completed, proof of insurance, proof of notice to the OAA (if required)
- c) Response to SRE 2, to a maximum of 32 pages (A4 sheet equivalent)
- d) Response to SRE 3, to a maximum of 12 pages (A4 sheet equivalent)
- e) Response to SRE 4, to a maximum of 15 pages (A4 sheet equivalent)

Note: The editable Annex A – Submission Checklist has been updated to version 3 to reflect this amendment and is available as zip file: Annex A – Submission Checklist v3, in the section entitled “Attachments” on BuyandSell.