



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC
11 Laurier/11,rue Laurier
Place du Portage, Phase III
Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2
Gatineau, Québec K1A 0S5
Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address
Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution
Parliamentary Precinct Division/Acquisitions de la Cité
parlementaire
222 Queen Street / 222, rue Queen
Ottawa
Ontario
K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet RFQ - Block 2 Design Competition Request for Qualification - Block 2 - Architectural Design Competition	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation EP771-200660/C	Amendment No. - N° modif. 009
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client EP771-200660	Date 2021-02-05
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$PPS-007-28035	
File No. - N° de dossier 007pps.EP771-200660	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM Eastern Standard Time EST on - le 2021-03-02 Heure Normale de l'Est HNE	
F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Martin, Cory D.	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 007pps
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (613) 990-3941 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX (613) 990-4447
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: Block 2 Ottawa, ON	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

Amendment 009 is raised to publish bidders' questions and answers about the Request for Qualification - Block 2 - Architectural Design Competition.

1. Questions and Answers

Question #082

In the instance of a foreign architect teaming with a local Ottawa-based architect for an equal design collaboration during the competition, is it correct to assume that:

- a. The local architect can take the role of the 'Respondent' (specified in the PQQ section 2.1), and
- b. The foreign architect can take the role of the 'Sub Consultant Architect Respondent Team member' (specified in the PQQ section 2.6), and
- c. To organize a team in this way NO Joint Venture is required?

In the above scenario, can the 'Sub Consultant Architect Respondent Team member' provide the Respondent Team's 'Principal Design Architect' and 'Lead Design Architect'?

Answer #082

As per the RFQ, any architectural entity declared in the RFQ can either be a member of the Respondent or a member of the Respondent Team.

- a) and b) Per SRE 1 – PQQ , As a member of the Respondent, the entity is subject to section 2.1, as an architectural entity member of the Respondent Team, operating as sub-consultants, the entity is subject to section 2.6.
- c) As a member of the Respondent, refer to response provided under question #042 f) in amendment 006; as a sub-consultant member within Respondent Team, a joint-venture arrangement with the Respondent is not an expectation.

Question #083

Are the respondent Team's 'Principal Design Architect' and 'Lead Design Architect' considered as "Key Individuals designated in Phase 1" (Ref. Answer #012) and therefore expected to be able to obtain the pre-requisite security clearances?

Answer #083

As per the RFQ, and as described in Annex E – Glossary, Key Individuals include the Principal Design Architect and Lead Design Architect. Regarding security clearances for individuals, refer to response to question Q#068 in amendment 008; Security clearance for individuals is not sought/ requested at this time.

Question #084

Can the same individual serve as both the 'Principal Design Architect' and the 'Lead Design Architect'?

Answer #084

No, The 4 key individuals are intended to cover the breadth and complexity required for this project.

Question #085

We are considering applying as a JV in the form of an informal alliance of architectural entities. Should we do so, would we be allowed to give it a formal structure following the competition, by creating and registering the JV as an entity (for example a LLP)? Or would the informal nature of the JV and its contract need to be maintained through the project development?

Answer #085

Either option is available for the purposes of a follow-on contract. Also refer to response to question #051 in amendment 006.

Question #086

Should a formal entity be formed by a consortium of architects following the competition, must it be an Entity as defined by Canadian law?

Answer #086

Refer to response provided to question 085 above.

Question #087

Our firm completed a project for one your parties, as a client, listed in Point 192 completed to construction approximately 5 years ago. Therefore, we are currently not their subcontractor, advisor, consultant, employee or representative. Given that we hold no contract with them since, can you please confirm that this previous experience does not pose a present conflict of interest?

Answer #087

Based on the information provided, past involvement as a sub-consultant on a completed project by a party identified in article 192, does not trigger ineligibility.

Question #088

We are a foreign company in a Joint Venture with a Canadian licenced office, who have all the security measures required. Can you confirm that we only need to prove, that the Architects in charge, that is the proposed Key Individuals, are eligible for the Canadian security licence (through fulfilment of local security measures/bilateral agreements)?

Answer #088

Refer to amended response to question #053 issued under Amendment 008.

Question #089

This questions refers to RFQ Page 51, Point 2.6. One on our intended Sub Consultant Architects team members is a Landscape Architect. They are not a Key Individual neither are they Canadian. Would they also be required to submit evidence of notification to the Ontario Association of Architects (OAA), or would they require this from the Association of their discipline, that is, the Ontario Association of Landscape Architects, for example?

Answer #089

No, neither applies.

Question #090

With reference to the PQQ, presented on Page 55, Point 6, can you clarify whether the term "All Respondents", under Integrity Provisions form, refers only to the Key Individuals or if it also requires fulfilment from the Respondent Team members, that is the engineering disciplines or proposed sub consultants?

Answer #090

SRE 1 – PQQ Section 6 refer to the Respondent (only) and all its constituting entities/firms. For clarity, it does not extend to individuals.