



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC

11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT
MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Security and Information Operations Division/Division
de la sécurité et des opérations d'information

11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier

8C2, Place du Portage

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

| | |
|--|---|
| Title - Sujet IdAM ITQ | |
| Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation W8474-19DS35/A | Amendment No. - N° modif. 002 |
| Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client W84749DS35 | Date 2020-06-30 |
| GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$QE-063-27818 | |
| File No. - N° de dossier 063qe.W8474-19DS35 | CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME |
| Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2020-07-28 | |
| F.O.B. - F.A.B. Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Norris, Chantale | Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 063qe |
| Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (819) 420-1758 () | FAX No. - N° de FAX () - |
| Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction: | |

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

| | |
|---|--|
| Delivery Required - Livraison exigée | Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée |
| Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur | |
| Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur | |
| Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie) | |
| Signature | Date |

This Amendment 002 is raised to answer questions 3 – 5 from industry for the Identity and Access Management Software Solution ITQ W474-19-DS35

Question 1:

Please confirm this ITQ for the Identity and Access Management Solution (IdAM) for DND is related to the 2019 RFI process for - Identity, Credentials and Access Management (ICAM) Project (W8474-19AM01/B).

The previously mentioned ICAM Project had ITBs associated with the procurement, and the evaluation process. This may be a factor in teaming or partnership arrangements for an eventual solution. Teaming or joint partnerships will need lead time to negotiate.

Answer 1:

This procurement is NOT related to the Identity, Credentials and Access Management (ICAM) project. This is a separate software solution and it does not meet the threshold for including Industrial and Technological Benefits (ITB's).

The ICAM and the IdAM are different projects. The deployment for IdAM will be done on a different network and there will not be any direct interoperability between the two solutions.

Question 2:

Would Canada consider an extension to allow respondents adequate time to respond?

Answer 2:

Yes, a three-week extension to the ITQ closing date has been granted.

Question 3:

Unfortunately, having a Java based solution is listed as a mandatory requirement. Our solution is based on .NET and not Java, but this has little to do with the capabilities and functionality of our solution. Will the Crown consider changing this to a “rated” requirement?

Answer 3:

No, the Crown will not consider changing the mandatory to a rated requirement. Understanding that there are two competing technologies .Net and Java, the Project and the client organization cannot support and maintain two technologies. Canada has specifically requested for a Java-based software solution for a number of reasons:

- 1. Current and future applications which will be integrated are Java-based;**
- 2. The technology choice is correlated with our government and international partners;**
- 3. Support for project deliverables is centred around Java-based technology and applications. The Crown already has resources and it does not have the budget and the time to build expertise in other technology solutions.**

Question 4:

For Mandatory #2. What is the current dependency on a new solution supporting older technology like Java? Why does the Crown want a solution will support Java-based middleware when there are several more modern applications written without the use of Java/JVM?

Answer 4:

Same response as Q3. Understanding that there are two competing technologies .Net and Java, the Project and the client organization cannot support and maintain two technologies. Canada has specifically requested for a Java-based software solution for a number of reasons:

- 1. Current and future applications which will be integrated are Java-based;**
- 2. The technology choice is correlated with our government and international partners;**
- 3. Support for project deliverables is centred around Java-based technology and applications. The Crown already has resources and it does not have the budget and the time to build expertise in other technology solutions.**

Question 5:

Why does the Crown want a solution will support Java-based middleware when there are several more modern applications written without the use of Java/JVM?

Answer 5:

Canada has decided on a Java-based technology.

More questions and answers to follow. All other Terms and Conditions remain unchanged.