



RETURN BIDS TO:

RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:

**Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions -
TPSGC**

11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier

Place du Portage, Phase III

Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2

Gatineau

Quebec

K1A 0S5

Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776

SOLICITATION AMENDMENT

MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

Comments - Commentaires

Vendor/Firm Name and Address

**Raison sociale et adresse du
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution

Systems Software Procurement Division / Division des

achats des logiciels d'exploitation

Terrasses de la Chaudière

4th Floor, 10 Wellington Street

4th etage, 10, rue Wellington

Gatineau

Quebec

K1A 0S5

Title - Sujet AI - Regulatory Evaluation Platform	
Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation 0X001-182587/A	Amendment No. - N° modif. 004
Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client 0X001-182587	Date 2019-04-26
GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG PW-\$\$EE-017-34665	
File No. - N° de dossier 017ee.0X001-182587	CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME
Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin at - à 02:00 PM on - le 2019-05-22	
Time Zone Fuseau horaire Eastern Daylight Saving Time EDT	
F.O.B. - F.A.B.	
Plant-Usine: <input type="checkbox"/> Destination: <input type="checkbox"/> Other-Autre: <input type="checkbox"/>	
Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à: Lessard, Peter	Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur 017ee
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone (613) 850-7602 ()	FAX No. - N° de FAX () -
Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction: Destination - des biens, services et construction:	

Instructions: See Herein

Instructions: Voir aux présentes

Delivery Required - Livraison exigée	Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée
Vendor/Firm Name and Address Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur	
Telephone No. - N° de téléphone Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur	
Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm (type or print) Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)	
Signature	Date

This amendment 004 is raised to respond to questions from suppliers and update the solicitation.

At page 21 of 106, at section 11.1, Basis of Selection for Stage II – Highest Combined Rating of Technical Merit and Price

DELETE “Basis of Selection for Stage II”

INSERT: “Basis of Selection for advancement to Stage II”

At page 23 of 106, section 11.2, Selection Consideration for Stage III

DELETE: “Selection Consideration for Stage III”

INSERT: “Selection Considerations for advancement to Stage III”

At page 99 of 106, Attachment 4, at section 2.1, Mandatory Technical Solution Criteria:

DELETE: “A Contractor’s REP prototype solution which fails to meet all the mandatory technical criteria will be considered.”

INSERT: “A Contractor’s REP prototype solution which fails to meet all the mandatory technical criteria will not be considered.”

RESPONSES TO THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS SUPERSEDE ALL RESPONSES PROVIDED TO SUPPLIERS DURING THE APRIL 17, 2019 WEBINAR FOR THIS REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL.

Q1. *Could the Canada School of Public Service please elaborate on what it expects for the prototype solution vs. the implemented solution? Based on the use cases included in Appendix 1 to Annex B, it appears the prototype developed in Stage II will be evaluated against the requirements for the final solution that would be implemented in Stage III. As such, the prototype and the implemented solution appear one and the same.*

R1. The prototype will be evaluated against the mandatory and point rated criteria found in Attachment 4 of the RFP. This includes an evaluation of the prototypes usability assessed through moderated usability testing. A prototype which fails to meet all the mandatory technical criteria will not be considered for Stage III. However, there is no minimum point rated score a prototype must obtain. Stage III will support the finalization of the prototype into a production ready solution including development of necessary documentation, training, implementation of the Implementation, Release and Support Services (IRSS) plan and further development. Stage III includes the following activities:

- Deliver a hosted production ready REP solution, with updated user guide to

address the refinements, proposed additional functionality, capability, user access levels made to the REP prototype solution and draft user guide to the Project Authority.

- Configure the REP Solution to be compliant with modern operating systems and browsers.
- Configure the REP Solution to adhere to the Government of Canada Web Usability
- Configure the REP Solution to comply with relevant policies of the Government of Canada Official Languages Act and the Directive on Official Languages for Communications and Services.

Q2. *Objective: “apply machine learning, natural language processing to search and find user-identified regulatory text or specific regulations according to user-defined themes and queries.” Could you please let us know what the scope of user-defined themes and queries is? For example, which out of the following two cases reflects the requirements in a faithful manner?*

- *The user can search, ‘environment related regulations for the mining industry’*
- *The user can search, ‘all environmental regulations that contradict each other’.*

Are the requirements strictly for regulations, or do all applicable acts/agreements/treaties apply?

R2. The requirement applies to all regulations and related legislation in the federal, provincial, territorial, E.U. and U.S. jurisdiction. The requirement for additional applicable acts/agreements and treaties, including for other jurisdiction and countries, was removed in response to the NPP industry feedback.

Both examples are valid. The scope of user-defined themes and queries is at the discretions and capabilities of the bidder given the budget, time, methodologies and solution(s) that the bidder may apply to the requirement and stated use cases.

Q3. *Objective: “provide the functionality to enable REP users to conduct supervised learning and insert their own comments, commentary or tag data elements identified in queries for future reference.” Is there existing labelled data for regulatory texts (topic tags, etc.)? Please provide an example of supervised learning in this context.*

R3. To reduce complexity of the mandatory prototype build and in response to the feedback on the NPP, the requirement to provide functionality to enable REP users to conduct supervised learning and insert their own comments, commentary or tag data elements identified in queries for future reference was removed from the mandatory requirements. However, a point-rated requirement was added for additional functionality for users to insert their own comments, commentary or tag data elements identified as a result of user queries. Bidders may propose this additional functionality with a proposed frequency of update for consideration by Canada within the given timeframe, budget and stated use cases for the Stage II prototype.

There is no standardized labelled data for regulatory text. Users of the REP solution may opt to insert their own comments, commentary or tag data elements using conventions in place at their Department or defined as appropriate by individual users.

The ability for the solution to use this input in supervised learning is at the discretion and capabilities of the bidder given the budget, time, methodologies and solution(s) that the bidder may apply to the requirement and stated use cases.

Q4. *Objective: “conduct analysis on characteristics, trends and impacts of regulation or group of regulations and information based on user-identified parameters.” Please provide a few examples of what ‘characteristics, trends and impacts’ mean in this context?*

R4. Characteristics, trends and impacts of a regulation in this context refers to identifying overlapping, obsolete or outdated regulations or requirements in the regulatory stock as well as opportunities to reduce regulatory burden on stakeholders.

This would include, as stated in the Statement of Work:

1. analytical module(s) that enable REP users to cluster, classify, pattern and apply semantic analysis based on user defined parameters in order to identify outdated regulations and assess the flexibility and degree of prescriptively of regulations; and
2. analytical module(s) that enable REP users to extract, compile and visually map regulatory requirements and the level of regulatory burden for identified industry or sectors (e.g. by NAICS code), or groups of stakeholders.

Q5. *Objective: “provide the functionality to combine information from other sources, including through input files and use of internet search techniques, to further augment or enhance the analysis of regulatory text.” Is this equivalent to saying the functionality of allowing user-defined dictionaries to be attached? Please provide an example of how an ‘internet search technique’ could be integrated with the product in this context.*

R5. The requirement to provide the functionality to combine information from other sources was removed from mandatory requirements and positioned as a point-rated requirement in order to reduce complexity of the mandatory prototype build and in response to the feedback on the NPP. Bidders may propose this additional functionality with a proposed frequency of update for consideration by Canada within the given timeframe, budget and stated use cases for the Stage II prototype.

The REP solution may allow users to import or otherwise introduce external data to augment or enhance the analysis of regulatory text. This could include the introduction of secondary data sets such as those referenced in Appendix 1 to Annex B – Use Case 4.

Q6. *Objective: “use publicly available data and data feeds; and...” Is this limited to using the regulatory text available in public domain or extra data feeds (e.g. news articles, industry reports, etc)? If so, please provide an example of such a feed.*

- R6.** The requirement to ingest publicly available data and data feeds was removed from mandatory requirements in order to reduce complexity of the prototype build and in response to the feedback on the NPP. Bidders may propose additional functionality beyond the mandatory requirement for consideration where it may enhance the quality of analysis and output within the given timeframe, budget and stated use cases for the Stage II prototype.

The REP solution may allow for the use of any publicly available data and data feeds. It is not limited to regulatory text and could include items such as but not limited to news articles, industry reports, academic papers etc.

- Q7.** *Apr 19 and 22 are statutory holidays, and given that the bidder's session is on Apr 17 in the afternoon, that gives bidders one (1) business day to review and confirm interest to participate in this solicitation process. Please confirm if the deadline to confirm interest to bid could be revised to five (5) business days after the bidders' session, excluding statutory holidays (hence, Apr 26).*

- R7.** In recognition of the long weekend, we have extended the deadline for bidders to review and confirm interest to participate in this solicitation process to April 23 at 2PM EDT.

- Q8.** *Given that regulations and acts at the provincial/territorial level are to be incorporated in the final solution, will CSPS provide links for all required data sources at the provincial/territorial level as part of the solicitation process?*

- R8.** There is no single source of data for all provincial/territorial level regulations and acts. The bidder will be expected to find and incorporate reliable data sources for provincial/territorial level regulations and acts in their solution.

- Q9.** *AI and ML are domains with their own speed and constraints of breakthroughs and we feel the current timeline for the development, finalization and delivery of the REP solution to be quite restricting. Based on this, would you consider a prototype at Stage II that would be enriched at Stage III (given the limited timeframe of Stage II) or instead would you relax some of Stage II requirements to allow bidders to provide a solid roadmap design for a prototype along with explanation on how the final product will be delivered.*

- R9.** In consideration of industry feedback on the NPP and to support agile iterative approaches designed to meet user needs, timeframe for completion of Stage II has been increased from 40 business days to 65 business days with reduced complexity and number of mandatory requirements.

The prototype (Stage II) will be evaluated against the mandatory and point rated criteria found in Attachment 4 of the RFP. This includes an evaluation of the prototype's usability assessed through moderated usability testing. A prototype which fails to meet all the mandatory technical criteria will not be considered for Stage III. There is also no minimum technical point criteria score that a prototype must obtain.

Prototypes must meet all mandatory technical criteria though it is expected that prototypes will require further enhancements, bug fixes and development prior to production readiness.

Q10. *Some of the use cases need greater investigation and clarification for certain notions (e.g. conflicting terms within a regulation, outdated regulation, directions to how to access appeals federal and provincial/territories alike). Will you grant access to the original teams behind the results depicted in the RFP during the prototyping and subsequent engagement and, if so, on which basis and terms (e.g. time, frequency)?*

R10. Contractors will be authorized, and have the ability to, engage Project User Group member departments and agencies on a predictable weekly basis each week over the course of the 65 day Stage II prototype development. All selected contractors will have access to the PUG members for an overall total of up to 20 hours. Contractors will be free to engage PUG members on an individual or collective basis within this 20 hour envelope and should consider this allocation time in the development of their project plans. The Project Authority will attend all Contractor engagement sessions to ensure fairness and equal access of all Contractors to PUG members.

Q11. *Questions regarding 1.3 Mandatory Financial Criteria: “The maximum funding available for Stage II Work (pricing Table 1) as identified in Annex C of the Contract resulting from the bid solicitation is \$150,000 (Canadian Funds, Applicable Taxes extra).”*

“For this solicitation, Canada may: i) award up to three contracts to successful bidders to each develop a prototype AI solution in accordance with Stage II of the Statement of Work in Annex B...”

Is it correct to interpret that the maximum funding is per contract award?

R11. Yes.

Q12. *Based on the defined timelines, requirements and deliverables, the allocated budget does not align to our market experience of what it takes to build and sustain a hosted solution of this nature. What were the inputs used to set the maximum budget amounts?*

R12. The specified maximum budget for Stage II (Pricing Table 1) and Stage III (Pricing Table 2, 3 and 4) reflects the total amount available funding for the Work included therein.

In consideration of industry feedback on the NPP and to support agile iterative approaches designed to meet user needs, timeframe for completion of Stage II has been increased from 40 business days to 65 business days with reduced complexity and number of mandatory requirements.

Q13. *Questions regarding 5.3 Mandatory Bidders' Engagement: “d) Reducing the Bidding Pool. Pre-qualified suppliers must self-identify their interest to compete for the requirements no later than five calendar days following the bidders' engagement session by emailing the Contracting Authority. A maximum of 10 bidders will be invited to bid.*

Canada will select up to three bidders from the pre-qualified bidders who have self-identified and the remainder will be randomly selected from the pre-qualified bidders who have self-identified. Bidders who do not self-identify their interest to the contracting authority within five calendar days will not be invited to bid.”

What criteria will be used by Canada to explicitly select up to three pre-qualified vendors?

- R13.** Canada will select three pre-qualified bidders from amongst those who have self-identified their interest to bid at its discretion.

A specific timeline (April 23, 2019 at 2PM EST) is specified for the suppliers to express interest to compete.

- Q14.** *On what date will Canada notify suppliers that they are or are not invited to bid?*

- R14.** Canada will inform bidders on April 26, 2019.

- Q15.** *What recourse or opportunity is there for interest suppliers who are not selected (either explicitly or randomly) to make their solution known to the selected vendors and be considered for partnership/joint venture?*

- R15.** Canada will publish the list of selected bidders on Buyandsell.gc.ca by an amendment to RFP on April 26, 2019.

Only pre-qualified suppliers (including Joint Ventures) that are already identified in Band 2 and 3 of the AI Source List will be eligible to participate in this procurement process.

- Q16.** *Questions regarding Section 7. Tasks: “An iterative approach with user testing must be undertaken for the design and development of the solution. The Project Authority, with the support of a Steering Committee comprised of a number of federal regulatory departments and agencies, will select users from the Steering Committee member departments to support all stages of the REP project (i.e., Project User Group (PUG).” If two or three contractors are selected for Stage II, is the intention that multiple prototype efforts run in parallel? Does this imply that all Contractors selected for Stage II needs to be available for the same two-month period?*

- R16.** Yes.

- Q17.** *If multiple Contractors are engaging the Project Authority, Steering Committee and Project User Group (PUG) at the same time, how will this be coordinated, managed? The three contractors selected for Stage II are competing to advance to Stage III, will communications, decisions, direction from the PUG and Steering Committee be shared with all Contractors or will each prototype development effort be managed separately.*

- R17.** Contractors will be authorized, and have the ability to, engage Project User Group member departments and agencies on a predictable weekly basis each week over the

course of the 65 day Stage II prototype development. All selected contractors will have access to the PUG members for a combined total of up to 20 hours. Contractors will be free to engage PUG members on an individual or collective basis within this 20 hour envelope and should consider this allocation time in the development of their project plans. The Project Authority will attend all Contractor engagement sessions to ensure fairness and equal access of all Contractors to PUG members.

All clarifications from the PUG and Steering Committee will be shared with all Contractors.

Q18. *Have the Project Authority, Steering Committee and PUG resources been identified and committed to participating in the Stage II prototypes? Client resource availability is often the cause of delays in prototype development if the resources are not fulltime committed to the project.*

R18. Yes, Project Authority, Steering Committee, and PUG resources have been identified and committed to support the Stage II process. The weekly committed windows for engagement with the PUG members or their designated alternates will be maintained and circulated by the Project Authority.

Q19. *In relation to the requirement “provide notifications to the REP user on changes to regulation(s) as defined by the user;” information is required regarding the frequency of changes and the mechanism to know that a regulation has changed for each of the data identified in section 6.2 and 6.3 of the Statement of Work.*

Is there a specific requirement for the frequency of updates to the REP data repository?

A19. The requirement to provide notifications to the REP users on changes to regulation(s) as defined by the user was removed from mandatory requirements and positioned as a point-rated requirement in order to reduce complexity of the mandatory prototype build and in response to the feedback on the NPP. Bidders may propose this additional functionality with a proposed frequency of update for consideration by Canada within the given timeframe, budget and stated use cases for the Stage II prototype.

Functionality for the solution to ingest regulatory data was revised to support quarterly updates with additional points awarded for user initiated updates at a more frequent interval.

Q20. *Given the short timeframe for Stage II, two information and engagement sessions are a reasonable plan. Will interaction and communication with the Project Authority be limited to these same two sessions? Or, is the intention that communication with the Project Authority be on an ongoing basis throughout the project?*

R20. In consideration of industry feedback on the NPP and to support agile iterative approaches designed to meet user needs, timeframe for completion of Stage II has been increased from 40 business days to 65 business days with reduced complexity and number of mandatory requirements.

Contractors will also be authorized, and have the ability to, engage Project User Group member departments and agencies on a predictable weekly basis each week over the course of the 65 day Stage II period. All selected contractors will have access to PUG member departments for a combined total of up to 20 hours. Contractors will be free to engage PUG members on an individual or collective basis within this 20 hour envelope and should consider this allocation time in the development of their project plans. The Project Authority will attend all contractor engagement sessions to ensure fairness and equal access of all contractors to PUG members.

Q21. *The longer term profitability and sustainability of the REP solution will be dependent on future subscription revenues from the Government of Canada and other subscribers.*

Will the Government of Canada make any commitment to future annual subscriptions?

Will the Government of Canada contractually limit the ability of the Contractor to sell subscriptions to other entities?

R21. The Government of Canada will not contractually limit the ability of the Contractor to sell the subscriptions to other entities. Commitments by Canada to future annual subscriptions will be considered in line with the terms of the contract, including option years and ongoing needs of federal departments and agencies.

Q22. *If more than one proposal is selected for Stage II, is the intention that multiple prototype efforts will run in parallel? Does this imply that all Contractors selected for Stage II needs to be available for the same two-month period?*

R22: Yes. The timeframe for delivery of Stage II prototypes are listed in the Statement of Works.

Questions from Bidder Engagement Session – April 17, 2019

Q23. *Is there any information that bidders can or cannot provide the contracting authority in advance of the 26th? Because you've indicated that it will be at Canada's discretion who the three are. What allowances are available to bidders to influence that decision-making?*

R23. No, the Project Authority will select at their own discretion three bidders while the remaining seven will be selected randomly from the list of pre-qualified suppliers who have self-identified their interest in bidding. The Project Authority will be working collaboratively with its Departmental and Agency partners in selecting three bidders.

Q24. *Does this project fall in any way under the Directive for Automated Decision-Making as issued by the Treasury Board of Canada?*

- R24.** The project authority strongly believes in the ethical use of AI. The requirement is to inform human judgment and it must be understood how the algorithm or algorithms are informing that human judgment. As a result, CSPS will apply the algorithmic impact assessment and risk assessment throughout the life of the requirement.
- Q25.** *In the case of a system that's provided that it continues to improve or change in the kind of recommendations or predictions that it makes, who is responsible for tracking the accuracy performance of that system over time? How is that determined, how stringently will that be measured, and who in fact does that measurement?*
- R25.** It is important that CSPS understands the type of insights and integrity of the evidence used to inform decisions that the government makes. The assessment of multiple prototypes (Stage II) allows for comparison between different methodologies using the same data and use cases. The project authority, in collaboration with partner Departments and Agencies, is responsible for tracking the accuracy and performance of the system over time.
- Q26.** *Is it possible for the companies that are not on the AI source list to bid on this?*
- R26.** No, only prequalified suppliers in Bands 2 and 3 of the AI Source List may participate.
- Q27.** *Why is banded 1 not invited to bid?*
- R27.** The overall budget of this requirement (including all three contracts and options) exceeds the Band 1 limitation defined in the AI Source List.
- Q28.** *How is the selection of the 10 bidders to be made, what criterion are used in that selection?*
- R28.** Once suppliers have self-identified they want to participate in this process, the list of interested suppliers will be shared with the Project Authority. The Project Authority will select at their own discretion three bidders while the remaining seven will be selected randomly from the list of pre-qualified suppliers who have self-identified their interest in bidding.
- Q29.** *What has to be delivered for April 26th?*
- R29.** By April 23 at 2PM EDT, interested bidders must express their interest in bidding for this requirement. On April 26th, Canada will be sharing the list of the ten selected suppliers on buyandsell.gc.ca.
- Q30.** *In the document that came out last week (RFP), under references, it was indicated that references pertaining to an affiliate of the bidder would not qualify. I noticed that was a departure from the ITQ in which references or experience that was from affiliates was relevant for eligibility. Is this an area that might be changed or might be open to change?*

- R30.** This will remain as per the RFP documents published on BuyandSell.
- Q31.** *Who will be allowed to bid? Who do we contact to self-identify our interest? Is that Peter?*
- R31.** Please use the generic AI email account.
(TPSGC.PAIA-APAI.PWGSC@tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca).
- Q32.** *So, you just said that you extended until April 23, but the question was whether an extension to April 26 was possible.*
- R32.** The deadline to indicate interest has been extended to 23 April at 14:00 EDT due to the Easter Monday holiday.
- Q33.** *Will you publish the Q&A as being discussed?*
- R33.** All questions and answers for this solicitation will be published on buyandsell.gc.ca.
- Q34.** *Please clarify the implication of the stage two prototypes not meeting either the mandatory requirement or not scoring well on the rated requirements. We ask this from two perspectives: (1) selection to move onto Stage III; and (2) financial payment of Stage II fees.*
- R34.** If a stage II prototype does not meet the mandatory requirements it will not be considered for stage III. If a stage II prototype does not score well on the point rated requirements, we will still consider the prototype for moving to stage III. Our intention is to move forward to stage III. All fees will be paid upon delivery and acceptance of stage II prototypes regardless of whether they score high or low on point rated requirements; however, the prototype must meet all mandatory criteria.
- Q35.** *Can you clarify that the total for stage three cannot exceed one seventy thousand dollars and this includes deployment plus support for two years?*
- R35.** The total for stage III (pricing table two, three and four) is \$170,000 maximum. The pricing table 2 is for optional refinement prior to deployment. Pricing table three and four are for two option years of hosting as well as optional training (Train-the-Trainer).
- Q36.** *Will the other seven firms be selected from the list of suppliers, two and three?*
- R36.** See responses to Q1 and Q6.
- Q37.** *Can we partner with a third party partner for hosting (stage III)? A review is planned between stage II and III.*
- Can the 3 firms review their proposal for Step III?*

- R37.** Suppliers may subcontract for hosting.
Suppliers will not have the opportunity to revise their Stage III proposal after the solicitation closes.
- Q38.** *When will the meeting's transcription be published on Buyandsell?*
- R38.** Canada intends to publish the transcript end of day April 26.
- Q39.** *Any joint venture should be fitting within the 150K (Stage II). But at the same time, there was no joint venture considered across stage two and stage three. So, which one actually is taking precedence?*
- R39.** If you've qualified on the AI source list as a joint venture, then you can bid on this requirement as a joint venture. If you are qualified as a single supplier and are looking to partner, you may consider subcontracting.
- Q40.** *Can bidders bring in teams with experience in other regulatory jurisdictions (e.g. UK)? Is there value to bringing in teams who have experience in other regulatory jurisdictions or is Canada's regulatory environment unique?*
- R40.** The nature of law-making and regulation-making and rule-making is often fairly similar and it is driven by international trade. Bidders may leverage teams who have international experience through subcontractor arrangements where feasible. Bringing in international or interjurisdictional perspectives is at the bidder's discretion.
- Q41.** *Could you clarify if it is allowed to re-use the software or parts of it for other products or clients?*
- R41.** Re-use of software or pieces of it for other products or clients is at the discretion of the resulting contractor. The contractor retains all right and to the REP Software Solution.
- Q42.** *Please clarify the application of GOC official language requirements in the context of this tool. What will need to be provided in both languages—e.g. just metadata—given that the regulation context itself will likely not exist in all cases in both English and French? Similarly, if the expectation is for users to be able to search in both languages, how does GOC envision addressing regulatory context—e.g. US federal regulations—that only exist in English? If translation of all context is being considered, please clarify how GOC will manage risks of inappropriate translation given the legal nature of the regulations.*
- R42.** Canada understands that some of the data sources provided as part of this requirement are not available in both official languages. However, users must have access to the REP solution in both official languages. While Canada requires that the solution (e.g. user interface, data visualization, customization options, etc.) be available in both official languages, there will be limitations given that the regulatory stock from other jurisdictions may not be available in English and/or French. Bidders should consider appropriate risk

mitigation techniques, adjustments to the analysis/recommendations the solution provides or other methodologies to work around this limitation.

Q43. *A review is planned between stage two and three. Can the three firms receive the proposals for Step Three?*

R43. At the end of the second stage, contractors must submit their prototypes to the project user group assessment. The prototypes will be assessed using moderate user-friendliness tests with the point-rated criteria specified in the assessment criteria. A decision will be made on whether to proceed to Step 3 as an option in the contract.

Q44. *In section 6.2, some of the datasets namely: “Point in time archives of acts and regulations” and “EU legislation – basic acts” aren’t referred to in the use cases. Please clarify how you intend to use them in the solution?*

R44. The Point in Time archive of acts and regulation and the EU legislation will be used throughout and in development of the solution. We would anticipate that these data sets can enhance the use cases or provide additional insights though not directly referenced in the use case. The use cases refer to specific sets of regulations with specific questions. The use cases allow bidders to see the types of business questions Canada is asking and potentially how these additional datasets could support analysis done to fulfill use cases.

Q45. *Is payment of stage two fees depending on the quality of the prototype?*

R45. See response to Q12.

Q46. *The questions were anonymous but will a list of participants be provided (for the Bidder Engagement Session on April 17, 2019)?*

R46. No. Since the solicitation is only open to band 2 and 3, suppliers may consult the AI source List on buyandsell.gc.ca for the list of potential participants.

There are no other changes to this solicitation.