

The purpose of this amendment is to

- distribute questions (Q) and answers (A) respecting the CFP; and
- modify (if applicable) the CFP as detailed in Section B

Section A – Qs & As

Questions (Q) and Answers (A)	
<p>Certain questions were generated at the Bidders’ Conference of April 25, 2018. Efforts were taken by Canada to accurately record the questions. If erred, suppliers are asked to submit a written version of the accurate question to the Contracting Authority listed in the CFP, following the procedures of the CFP at Part 2, article 2.4 “Enquiries about the CFP”. The corresponding answers are largely those given at the Conference. Some answers were further clarified/considered after the Conference and are modified below.</p>	
286	<p>Question.</p> <p>Regarding the eligible expenses:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. since we are an academic institution, does the “10.40. Research and Development Contracts with Universities and Colleges” section of the Supply manual supersede the “eligible costs” section of the IDEaS CFP (Section 3.5)? The supply manual section allows for overhead to be charged, while the eligible costs section of the CFP does not allow for “administrative overhead” so I am just wondering which one applies to our researchers. 2. Does the cost of releasing the researcher working on the solution from her teaching responsibilities count as an “incremental resourcing costs”; so is course release an eligible cost? In 10.40 of the supply manual “professional salaries” are an allowable expense, so we’re wondering if course release fits into this category, or if it technically is not a salary and therefore not eligible. 3. Are conference travel and other knowledge transfer activity costs eligible expenses?
	<p>Réponse.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. See response 39, 119, 123, 242, 272 et 273 2. See response 205 3. See response 122
287	<p>Question.</p> <p>We have developed a proof of concept prototype of an exoskeleton system and plan to apply for IDEaS to make a next generation that can be used by human subjects. We searched to find the best challenge for it and we found "Human performance in extreme climatic environments" as the best fit. Do you have any suggestions for a different challenge which might better fit to our project?</p>

	<p>Answer. . It is the bidders’ responsibility to determine the S&T challenge that represents the best fit with their proposed solution. Bidders must explain the alignment of their solution with the chosen S&T challenge in the “Project Objective” section of the submission. (In maximum of 300 words, the Bidder must address the criteria and supporting information for MC-2 of Part 4: Alignment with S&T Challenge)</p>
288	<p>Question</p> <p>The submission portal now asks for an additional written section “C - Project Overview – 500 words”. This section is not defined in the CFP documentation and was not in the slides used during the Industry Day to describe the submission sections. Is this section a requirement and if so is it scored? If so can the information be provided to vendors as to what is required in this section? We do see this section defined in the proposal submission portal.</p> <p>Answer: See answer to Q 278.</p>
289	<p>Intentionally left blank.</p> <p>Intentionally left blank.</p>
290	<p>Question</p> <p>I submitted a proposal on the online tool. I Two important factors in the budget part were forgotten. Thus, I am asking whether it would be possible for me to be still given access to the proposal and update it.</p> <p>Answer: For any technical issues pertaining to the online submission, please contact: support@fluidreview.com</p>
291	<p>Question</p> <p>I submitted my application for the IDEaS application last week. I have not received any kind of email confirming that this was received. My understanding is that we are too receive an email confirmation when it is submitted</p> <p>Answer: For any technical issues pertaining to the online submission, please contact: support@fluidreview.com</p>
292	<p>Intentionally left blank.</p> <p>Intentionally left blank.</p>
293	<p>Question</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Does the proposed work have to be completed in 6 months? Should all of the tasks in the workplan for this proposal be completed within 6 months? Or are you also able to include tasks which would fall into the 1b phase and would therefore happen after the first 6 months? 2. Since I cannot see the final submission requirements until the other sections of the application are complete, could you tell me whether or not there is an institutional approval required for researchers submitting proposals? (Does the VP Research or

	<p>designate have to sign anything for the researcher before he/she can submit their proposal? Or does the researcher submit directly with no institutional signature?)</p>
	<p>Answer 1: The work plan should convert component 1a to be completed within 6 months. 2: for PSPC to answer</p>
294	<p>Question We have some university professors who are going to apply to your DND ideas call. We are wondering who should provide the certifications and be considered the bidder, since the funds must be administered by the university?</p>
295	<p>Intentionally left blank.</p>
	<p>Intentionally left blank.</p>
296	<p>Intentionally left blank.</p>
	<p>Intentionally left blank.</p>
297	<p>Question</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It sounds IDEaS program is not including real-medical and clinical components (i.e.: traumatology, etc). That included medical research in CBRNE Defence. Is this correct? 2. We are curious to know if the government will eventually consider adding medical scientific challenges in the next year call for proposal of IDEaS?
	<p>Answer: 1. It is up the bidder to ascertain whether or not their proposed solutions aligns with the S&T challenges as stated in Part 1, Attachment 1 pf the CFP. 2. Any future opportunities will be announced on the IDEaS website www.canada.ca/defence-ideas</p>
298	<p>Question We are interested in submitting a proposal under S&T Challenge 12 - Resilient Non-Global Positioning System (GPS) Based Positioning, Navigation and Timing. We have the following two questions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It would appear , from the description provided under the S&T Challenge, that this is focused on land based operations under denied GPS. Is this correct? 2. We have been developing a backup for GPS in the marine environment for a number of years and are currently at SRL level 6. Can a proposal be submitted when it is only applicable to one environment, the naval environment?
	<p>Answer: 1) Though the description provided under the S&T Challenge used land operations as an example of one of the most difficult environments, proposals focused</p>

	<p>on other environments are welcome, as it is the innovative technologies, concepts and applications that are of interest.</p> <p>(2) Yes.</p>
--	---