

Amendment 006

The purpose of this amendment is to respond to potential bidders' questions and revise Part 5 of the solicitation.

1) Question 105:

Is the format for the Project Manager / Project Team profile the only format that can be used? We have profiles on our staff that are already prepared that could be submitted directly.

Response 105:

Please refer to Question 34 in Amendment 2

Question 106:

Similarly, we have project profiles for each project that the project manager has worked on. Can this be submitted?

Response 106:

Please refer to Amendment 002, Response 34. There is a supplementary information section (optional) in the online tool which provides the Bidder the opportunity to upload additional information.

Question 107:

In section **PR-2 B Cost Estimate** the wording states:

The cost estimate is commensurate with the work, and specifically:

- a) is realistic for the technical approach offered;
- b) aligns with, and is reasonably relative to,
 - each labour category proposed per task;
 - the number of labour hours proposed per task;
 - the types and kinds of materials, equipment, costs and other associated items.

Regarding section b), it is not shown in the online submission form or the Workplan or Budget spreadsheets where the labour category proposed per task details should be entered. Also, it is not shown in the online submission form or the Workplan or Budget spreadsheets where the number of labour hours proposed per task details should be entered.

Under which columns in the Workplan or Budget spreadsheets or where in the Online Submission form should we provide these details by task? What labour categories should we use?

Response 107:

Please refer to the Supporting Information column in PR-2 B-B Cost Estimate. The proposal should demonstrate that all criteria have been considered in the development of the Workplan and Budget.

Question 108:

In the budget table, the instructions state that "all amounts entered must be in thousands (000's)"

Can you clarify whether this means that we round our entries to the nearest thousand or we enter the numbers as thousands of dollars. For example, if an amount is \$5,250.00, do we enter that as \$5000.00 or do we enter it as \$5.25k?

Response 108:

Amounts should be entered in the following format: \$5,250.00.

Question 109:

Can this bid under S&T Challenges #22 include research & development for ballistic protection for vehicle, aircraft, helicopter and ships if we exclude protection for personnel?

Response 109:

The bid may exclude protection for personnel at the bidder's discretion. If the Bidder feels that they meet the criteria in that the proposal can contribute to energy efficiencies, then they would be encouraged to submit their proposal and the proposal review process will determine if there is alignment with the challenge.

Question 110:

We may not have all of the possible stakeholders/partner identified for some of the work elements. Can the submission still continue through the process? As the lead, we would provide the required estimates, but would ultimately sub-contract the work at a later time, once (if) the project is approved.

Response 110:

Proposals must meet the partnership requirements outlined in section 3.1 Who May Apply. Please also refer to Amendment 003, Response 44.

Question 111:

For 5.1 integrity provisions and 5.2.1 integrity provisions- required documentation, could you please confirm if the Universities are required to provide the list of board of Governors only?

Response 111:

Please refer to the following link for additional information: <https://buyandsell.gc.ca/policy-and-guidelines/supply-manual/section/4/21>

There is no exception for Universities.

Question 112:

If 5.1.1 applies on Universities, would you be able to provide us with the PDF version of the form to be completed?

Response 112:

Please see Amendment 005, Response 85 and 2) below.

Question 113:

Could you please confirm if we are to submit Part 5 - Certification and additional Information as a PDF online or to you by email?

Response 113:

The Certifications required with the proposal are detailed in sections 5.1 and 5.1.2 of the DRDC Innovation Call for Proposals 2017 document.

The Canadian Content certification forms part of the online tool submission process for unclassified proposals. For classified proposals, the certification is in the hard copy of the submission form.

For further information on the Integrity certification provisions, please refer to Amendment 005, Response 85.

Question 114:

Would you be able to confirm that the [Lead Government Bidder] is able to exit the process without penalty or obligation at any point prior to successful negotiation of contract in Part 2?

Response 114:

If a proposal submission is selected for funding, the Lead Bidder has the opportunity to withdraw their proposal prior to contract award.

Question 115:

We are finalizing our submission to the DRDC innovation call for proposals 2017 and have just become aware of the change to the budget table issued in amendment 001, replacing the last line item "Total Approved Funding from Other Federal Programs (including DRDC)" to "Funding Requested - Travel".

We find this change unclear, is the line referring to the Travel funding requested, or the total funding requested less travel (i.e. is the - meant to be interpreted as a minus or a dash)? There is no explanation of this change in any amendment that would give it clear context, and no formula included for that line in the online .xlsx template.

Response 115:

The cell entitled "Total Proposal Value" located immediately above the "Funding Request – Travel", should include travel. The amount entered into the cell, "Funding Request – Travel", should show the total amount of travel separated out from the "Total Proposal Value" amount.

Question 116:

- On the DRDC Innovation Call for Proposals 2017 Submission Form
- And regarding question PR-C: Novel and Innovative

There are four bullet points below the heading ****Innovative**. The second bullet reads "New knowledge advancing knowledge in social science". Our question is: should this read "science" and not "social science" or our we to think of the impacts of our technology on the social sciences?

Response 116:

The second bullet in intended to refer to social science. The purpose of the definition of innovation is to provide the Bidder with examples of what is deemed innovative for the purposes of this CFP. Accordingly, the Bidder will need to decide which bullet within the definition of innovation is applicable to their proposal.

2) At PART 5 – CERTIFICATIONS AND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION, 5.1.1 Integrity Provisions - Declaration of Convicted Offences;

DELETE:

In accordance with the Integrity Provisions of the Standard Instructions, all bidders must provide with their bid, if applicable, the Integrity declaration form available on the Forms for the Integrity Regime website (<http://www.tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca/ci-if/declaration-eng.html>), to be given further consideration in the procurement process.

REPLACE WITH:

In accordance with the Integrity Provisions of the Standard Instructions, all bidders must provide, as part of their bid, if applicable, the Integrity declaration form available on the Forms for the Integrity Regime website (<http://www.tpsgc-pwgsc.gc.ca/ci-if/declaration-eng.html>), to be given further consideration in the procurement process.

This declaration is to be sent to the Contracting Authority, as identified in Section 2.3 of the solicitation.

All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.