



**RETURN BIDS TO:**

**RETOURNER LES SOUMISSIONS À:**

**Bid Receiving - PWGSC / Réception des soumissions  
- TPSGC**

**11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier**

**Place du Portage, Phase III**

**Core 0B2 / Noyau 0B2**

**Gatineau**

**Québec**

**K1A 0S5**

**Bid Fax: (819) 997-9776**

**SOLICITATION AMENDMENT**

**MODIFICATION DE L'INVITATION**

The referenced document is hereby revised; unless otherwise indicated, all other terms and conditions of the Solicitation remain the same.

Ce document est par la présente révisé; sauf indication contraire, les modalités de l'invitation demeurent les mêmes.

**Comments - Commentaires**

**Vendor/Firm Name and Address**

**Raison sociale et adresse du  
fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur**

**Issuing Office - Bureau de distribution**

Electronics, Simulators and Defence Systems Div.

/Division des systèmes électroniques et des systèmes de  
simulation et de défense

11 Laurier St. / 11, rue Laurier

8C2, Place du Portage

Gatineau

Québec

K1A 0S5

<b>Title - Sujet</b> Naval Remote Weapon Station	
<b>Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation</b> W8472-125389/C	<b>Amendment No. - N° modif.</b> 007
<b>Client Reference No. - N° de référence du client</b> W8472-125389	<b>Date</b> 2015-10-27
<b>GETS Reference No. - N° de référence de SEAG</b> PW-\$\$QF-101-25301	
<b>File No. - N° de dossier</b> 101qf.W8472-125389	<b>CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME</b>
<b>Solicitation Closes - L'invitation prend fin</b> <b>at - à 02:00 PM</b> <b>on - le 2015-11-13</b>	
<b>Time Zone</b> Fuseau horaire Eastern Standard Time EST	
<b>F.O.B. - F.A.B.</b> Specified Herein - Précisé dans les présentes	
<b>Plant-Usine:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Destination:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>Other-Autre:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Address Enquiries to: - Adresser toutes questions à:</b> Rancourt, Michael	<b>Buyer Id - Id de l'acheteur</b> 101qf
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> (819) 956-5650 ( )	<b>FAX No. - N° de FAX</b> (819) 956-5650
<b>Destination - of Goods, Services, and Construction:</b> <b>Destination - des biens, services et construction:</b> See Herein	

**Instructions: See Herein**

**Instructions: Voir aux présentes**

<b>Delivery Required - Livraison exigée</b>	<b>Delivery Offered - Livraison proposée</b>
<b>Vendor/Firm Name and Address</b> <b>Raison sociale et adresse du fournisseur/de l'entrepreneur</b>	
<b>Telephone No. - N° de téléphone</b> <b>Facsimile No. - N° de télécopieur</b>	
<b>Name and title of person authorized to sign on behalf of Vendor/Firm</b> <b>(type or print)</b> <b>Nom et titre de la personne autorisée à signer au nom du fournisseur/ de l'entrepreneur (taper ou écrire en caractères d'imprimerie)</b>	
<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>

---

This solicitation amendment 7 is to respond to bidders questions:

### **Bidder Questions**

#### **Question 1**

Reference: Amendment 5, Question 1

(Applicable Requirement: Volume 2 Annex B Appendix 3 NRWS Technical Statement of Requirements, Article 3.2.4.5)

Why has Canada separated the original identification requirement in TSOR article 3.2.4.5 into two separate requirements, one for unprotected man and another for a 2.3 by 2.3 metre target? Additionally why has the range for identification of unprotected man been reduced to 1,000 metres?

Answer:

TSOR article 3.2.4.5 was deleted and replaced with two separate articles in order to accurately reflect the RCN's requirement for identification ranges of threats at night, as posted in the answer to question 1 of RFP amendment 5.

The justification for the change is that article 3.2.4.5, as originally written, did not differentiate between the identification ranges requirements for personnel threats versus all other threats (boats, aircraft, land vehicles).

#### **Question 2**

Reference: Amendment 5, Question 11

(Applicable Requirement: Volume 2 Annex B Appendix 3 NRWS Technical Statement of Requirements, Article 3.3.18)

The electro-optical sensor suite (EOSS) was to be independently stabilized from the weapon cradle. This change allows bidders to not stabilize the EOSS beyond the stabilized platform (TSOR 3.3.9) and therefore drives a specific box solution for the EOSS. Given that the mount itself must be stabilized (TSOR 3.3.9), this 3.3.18 is now redundant and rendered ineffective. Can Canada clarify the change in requirement?

Answer:

The article was rewritten as a result of Amendment 05 question 11 for clarity however there is no change in the original requirement, as the EO sensor suite requires its own level of stabilisation while being decoupled from the weapon as indicated in Volume 2 Annex B Appendix 3, TSOR requirement 3.2.7 and having to maintain the target on centre of the operator display as indicated in TSOR requirement 3.4.18. The NRWS EO sensor suite shall be stabilized with its own stabilization in addition to the platform stabilization requirement as indicated in TSOR requirement 3.3.9. The word "independently" was removed to avoid defining a particular solution or design. The bidder's proposed solution shall meet each individual requirement in order to be considered responsive.

DELETE Volume 2 Annex B Appendix 3 NRWS Technical Statement of Requirements, Article 3.3.18 in its entirety

REPLACE the above delete text with the following:

Solicitation No. - N° de l'invitation  
W8472-125389/C  
Client Ref. No. - N° de réf. du client  
W8472-125389

Amd. No. - N° de la modif.  
007  
File No. - N° du dossier  
101qfW8472-125389

Buyer ID - Id de l'acheteur  
101qf  
CCC No./N° CCC - FMS No./N° VME

---

3.3.18 The NRWS EO sensor suite shall be stabilized in addition to the NRWS platform stabilization.

**ALL OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE SOLICITATION DOCUMENT  
REMAIN UNCHANGED.**